Deep Networks for Saliency Detection via Local Estimation and Global Search Supplementary Material

Lijun Wang[†], Huchuan Lu[†], Xiang Ruan[‡] and Ming-Hsuan Yang[§] [†]Dalian University of Technology [‡]OMRON Corporation [§]University of California at Merced

1. Performance Comparison

We evaluate our method (LEGS) on four benchmark data sets (MSRA-5000 [7], SOD [9], ECCSD [10] and PASCAL-S [6]) against ten state-of-the-art models (SVO [1], PCA [8], DRFI [3], GC [2], HS [10], MR [11], UFO [4], wCtr [12], CPMC-GBVS [6] and HDCT [5]). We use either the implementations or the saliency maps provided by the authors for fair comparison. Since the DRFI method is also trained on the MSRA-5000 data set with different training/test images from ours, we do not report its result on this data set. In addition, the CPMC-GBVS method only provides the saliency maps of the PASCAL-S data set. Both quantitative and qualitative results are demonstrated in the following figures.



Figure 1. F-measure curves on four benchmark data sets.

÷.		Cer	and the second second	-			-	3 the	ster.		
	Source of	A			THE A	JUNALL S		A Marine	THE ALL		1
Sos.	So	N.				10	S	WY	N.		
				Py		OPAS CONT			00°4		
		PERMIT	THE REAL PROPERTY.	THIT	TITTE .	AT ALLER			- TIME	int	
						A REAL					
State	e	1.3.5	1.50	41610	-	A		est.	د ا		77 ~
									s salar		
		1		2		E.					
									E		
						S					
63	AND NO	45	675	415	-55	65		-15	-15-	1.10 ⁷⁰ 1	4.15
<u> </u>				- Star				3 Startes	- Starter		
William and					Ma		THE		March .		
N/A		11.2				N'AF		T			
- North	A. C.			X	¥	a de	N.		and a second	ч Г .	Ĩ.
Input	DRFI	HDCT	GC	HS	MR	PCA	SVO	UFO	wCtr	LEGS	Ground truth

Figure 2. Qualitative comparisons of the state-of-the-art methods on the SOD data set.



Figure 3. Qualitative comparisons of the state-of-the-art methods on the ECCSD data set.



VS DRFI HDCT GC HS MR PCA SVO UFO wCtr Figure 4. Qualitative comparisons of the state-of-the-art methods on the PASCAL-S data set.



Figure 5. Qualitative comparisons of the state-of-the-art methods on the MSRA-5000 data set.

2. Feature Analysis

We present empirical analysis on the discriminative ability of all the global features based on the distribution of both foreground and background regions in different feature spaces. The distribution plots show strong overlaps between foreground and background regions in all three types of feature spaces, which suggests that a heuristic combination of these features can hardly achieve good results for saliency detection. Instead, our method integrates these features through a supervised learning scheme and attains accurate regional saliency scores.



Figure 6. Distribution of foreground and background regions in different feature spaces.







3. Sensitivity Analysis

We present experimental results of the proposed method under different parameter K (See (7) in the manuscript). Since the final saliency map is produced by a weighted sum of salient regions, our method is insensitive to this parameter and achieves good performance when $1 \le K \le 30$.







Figure 10. F-measure under different K.

References

- K.-Y. Chang, T.-L. Liu, H.-T. Chen, and S.-H. Lai. Fusing generic objectness and visual saliency for salient object detection. In ICCV, pages 914–921, 2011.
- [2] M.-M. Cheng, J. Warrell, W.-Y. Lin, S. Zheng, V. Vineet, and N. Crook. Efficient salient region detection with soft image abstraction. In *ICCV*, pages 1529–1536, 2013.
- [3] H. Jiang, J. Wang, Z. Yuan, Y. Wu, N. Zheng, and S. Li. Salient object detection: A discriminative regional feature integration approach. In *CVPR*, pages 2083–2090, 2013.
- [4] P. Jiang, H. Ling, J. Yu, and J. Peng. Salient region detection by ufo: Uniqueness, focusness and objectness. In ICCV, pages 1976–1983, 2013.
- [5] J. Kim, D. Han, Y. Tai, and J. Kim. Salient region detection via high-dimensional color transform. In CVPR, pages 883–890, 2014.
- [6] Y. Li, X. Hou, C. Koch, J. M. Rehg, and A. L. Yuille. The secrets of salient object segmentation. In CVPR, pages 280–287, 2014.
- [7] T. Liu, Z. Yuan, J. Sun, J. Wang, N. Zheng, X. Tang, and H.-Y. Shum. Learning to detect a salient object. PAMI, 33(2):353–367, 2011.
- [8] R. Margolin, A. Tal, and L. Zelnik-Manor. What makes a patch distinct? In CVPR, pages 1139–1146, 2013.
- [9] V. Movahedi and J. H. Elder. Design and perceptual validation of performance measures for salient object segmentation. In *POCV*, pages 49–56, 2010.
- [10] Q. Yan, L. Xu, J. Shi, and J. Jia. Hierarchical saliency detection. In CVPR, pages 1155–1162, 2013.
- [11] C. Yang, L. Zhang, H. Lu, X. Ruan, and M.-H. Yang. Saliency detection via graph-based manifold ranking. In CVPR, pages 3166–3173, 2013.
- [12] W. Zhu, S. Liang, Y. Wei, and J. Sun. Saliency optimization from robust background detection. In CVPR, pages 2814–2821, 2014.