This set covers chapters 16–19 of the book Numerical Optimization by Nocedal and Wright, 2nd ed.

From the book, the following exercises: 16.1–3, 16.6, 16.10, 16.15–16, 16.20–21, 17.4, 17.11, 18.5, 19.12–13. In addition, the exercises below. There are no Matlab programming exercises. However, you may find it useful to plot the objective function, constraints and auxiliary functions (e.g. the quadratic-penalty function) with fcontour.

IV.1. Quadratic programming. Consider the Markowitz model of portfolio optimization (example 16.1 in the book):

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} - \kappa \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{x} = 1, \ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

where  $\kappa \geq 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , **G** is symmetric positive definite and  $\mathbf{e} = (1, ..., 1)^T$ . W.l.o.g. assume that the largest component of  $\mu$  is the first  $(\mu_1 \geq \mu_i, i = 1, ..., n)$ .

(i) Suppose that  $g_{ii} > g_{ij}$ , i = 1, ..., n. Show that, for a solution to be at one corner of the feasible polytope, namely  $\mathbf{x}^* = (1, 0, ..., 0)^T$ , the following condition must hold:

$$\kappa \le \kappa_a \text{ with } \kappa_a = \min_{i=2,...,n} \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_i}{2(g_{11} - g_{1i})}.$$

Interpret this solution. (Hint: apply the KKT and 2nd-order conditions to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .)

(ii) Suppose that the sum of each column of  $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$  is positive. Show that, for a solution to have only positive components  $(\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0})$ , the following condition must hold:

$$\kappa > \kappa_c \text{ with } \kappa_c = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{e} \left( \min_{i=1,\dots,n} \frac{(\mathbf{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu})_i}{(\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{e})_i} \right).$$

What is the solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ? What is the solution for  $\kappa \to \infty$ ?

(iii) Consider a different portfolio optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \qquad \text{subject to} \qquad \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{x} \ge \kappa, \ \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{x} = 1, \ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

where  $\kappa \geq 0$  as before. What is the largest  $\kappa$  for which this problem is feasible? (Hint: formulate as an LP  $\kappa = \max \mu^T \mathbf{x}$  s.t.  $\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ ; guess its solution and prove it is a solution using the KKT conditions.)

## IV.2. Quadratic-penalty, augmented-Lagrangian, log-barrier and interior-point methods.

- (i) Consider the constrained optimization problem  $\min_{\mathbf{x}} x_1^2 + x_2^2$  s.t.  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$ . Find the solution  $(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*)$  of this problem using the KKT and second-order conditions. Write the quadratic-penalty function  $Q(\mathbf{x}; \mu)$  and its gradient  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} Q(\mathbf{x}; \mu)$  and Hessian  $\nabla^2_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} Q(\mathbf{x}; \mu)$ . Show that:  $Q(\mathbf{x}; \mu)$  has a single minimiser  $\mathbf{x}_k$  for each  $\mu_k > 0$ ; this minimiser tends to the solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  of the problem as  $\mu_k \to \infty$ ; the Lagrange multiplier estimate  $\lambda_k \approx -\mu_k c(\mathbf{x}_k)$  (eq. (17.10) in the book) tends to the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^*$  at the solution as  $\mu_k \to \infty$ ; the Hessian of the penalty function at the minimiser becomes progressively more ill-conditioned as  $\mu_k \to \infty$ , i.e., cond  $(\nabla^2_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} Q(\mathbf{x}_k; \mu_k)) \to \infty$ .
- (ii) As in (i) but using the augmented-Lagrangian function  $\mathcal{L}_A(\mathbf{x}, \lambda; \mu)$  where the variable  $\lambda_k$  is updated as  $\lambda_{k+1} \leftarrow \lambda_k \mu_k c(\mathbf{x}_k)$  (eq. (17.39) in the book).
- (iii) As in (i) but for the problem  $\min_{\mathbf{x}} x_1^2 + x_2^2$  s.t.  $x_1 \ge 1$  using the log-barrier function  $P(\mathbf{x}; \mu)$  and where the Lagrange multiplier estimate is  $\lambda_k \approx \frac{\mu_k}{c(\mathbf{x}_k)}$  (eq. (19.47) in the book).
- (iv) For the problem in (iii), write the system of perturbed KKT equations  $\mathbf{F}(\dots) = \mathbf{0}$  for an interior-point method; solve it and find the primal-dual central path; compute the Jacobian  $\mathbf{J}$  of  $\mathbf{F}$  and indicate how to obtain the Newton step; show that  $\mathbf{J}$  does not become progressively more ill-conditioned as we approach the solution.