## Sparse Oblique Decision Trees: A Tool to Understand and Manipulate Neural Net Features Suryabhan Singh Hada and Miguel Á. Carreira-Perpiñán and Arman Zharmagambetov, Dept. CSE, UC Merced

## 1 Motivation and summary

- Deep neural nets are accurate black-box models.
- Our goal is to understand what internal features computed by the neural net are responsible for a particular class. We achieve this by mimicking the classifier part of the net with a decision tree having sparse weight vectors at the nodes. We



can learn accurate enough sparse oblique trees with the tree alternating optimization (TAO) algorithm.

Tree with one class per leaf for VGG16 network trained on subset of  $\implies$  ImageNet dataset with 16 classes.

- We found that out of thousands of neurons (in the last layer of feature-extraction part of the net), there is only a small subset of neurons associated with a given class. We explore this by introducing a new feature-level adversarial attack via masking a specific set of neurons. We show that we can easily manipulate the neural net features in order to make the net predict, or not predict, a given class.
- For VGG16 trained on a subset of ImageNet (16 classes), only 1366 out of 8192 (only 17%) neurons are needed to achieve the same performance as the original network. On an average number of neurons associated with a given class is around 200.







Mimicking part of a neural net with a decision tree.

## 2 Masking of deep net features

- Consider a trained deep net classifier: y = f(x).
- We can write **f** as: f(x) = g(F(x)), where
- ◆ F represents the features-extraction part (z = F(x) ∈ ℝ<sup>F</sup>).
  ◆ g represents the classifier part (y = g(z)).
- Train a sparse oblique tree y = T(z) on the training set  $\{(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n)\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^F \times \{1, \dots, K\}$ . Choose the sparsity hyperparameter  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  such that, T mimicks **g** very good and is as sparse as possible. Next, inspect the



weights of the decsion nodes to create masks.

- Our masking operation is as follows:
- Original net: y = f(x) = g(F(x)).
  Original features: z = F(x).

♦ Masked net: 
$$\overline{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})))$$

- Masked features:  $\overline{z} = \mu(F(x)) = \mu(z)$ .
- $\diamond \overline{z} = \mu(z) = \mu^{\times} \odot z + \mu^{+}.$
- $◊ μ = {μ<sup>×</sup>, μ<sup>+</sup>}, where, μ<sup>×</sup> ∈ {0, 1}<sup>F</sup> is the$ *multiplicative mask*and μ<sup>+</sup> ≥ 0 is the*additive mask*.
- We show three masks:
- ♦ ALL TO CLASS k: Let  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . Classify all instances **x** as class k.
- ♦ ALL CLASS k<sub>1</sub> TO CLASS k<sub>2</sub>: Let k<sub>1</sub> ≠ k<sub>2</sub> ∈ {1,...,K}. For any instance originally classified as k<sub>1</sub>, classify it as k<sub>2</sub>. For any other instance, do not alter its classification.
- ♦ NONE TO CLASS *k*: Let  $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ . For any instance originally classified as *k*, classify it as any other class. For any other instance, do not alter its classification.



Illustration of masks for a particular image in VGG16. Column 1 shows the image masks (when available). Column 2 shows the histogram of corresponding softmax values. Row 3 shows a mask manually cropped in the image, whose features resemble those of row 2. Row 4 shows a mask in feature space obtained by finding the top-3 superpixels whose features most resemble those of the masked features of row 2.