

This set covers chapters 1–4 and A of the book *Numerical Optimization* by Nocedal and Wright, 2nd ed.

From the book, the following exercises: 2.2–2.3, 2.6–2.9, 2.13–2.15, 3.1, 3.3–3.4, 4.1, 4.10. In addition, the exercises below. The Matlab programming exercises are 3.1 and **I.4–I.9**. For exercises 2.2 and 2.9, plot your results with Matlab (for your own information); for exercise 3.1, estimate the convergence rate with the `convseq` function of exercise **I.4**.

**I.1. Extended Rosenbrock function.** This is an extension of exercise 2.1. Consider the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = a(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2$ . Compute the gradient  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  and the Hessian  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ . Find and classify (as maxima, minima and saddle points) the stationary points of  $f$ . Compute the condition number of the Hessian at the stationary points. Plot the contours of  $f$  in the rectangle  $[-2, 2] \times [-1, 3]$  for  $a = 4, 10, 0, -1, -4$  (you may need to select the contours manually to view the stationary point).

Repeat for the extended Rosenbrock function in  $n$  variables (where  $n$  is even):

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (a(x_{2i} - x_{2i-1}^2)^2 + (1 - x_{2i-1})^2).$$

**I.2. Steepest descent & Newton directions in quadratic forms.** Consider the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 - x_2^2)$ .

- Sketch the contours of  $f$  around its stationary point.
- Compute the steepest descent direction and the Newton direction at  $\mathbf{x}$ . Verify the steepest descent direction is a descent direction for any  $\mathbf{x}$ . For what points  $\mathbf{x}$  is the Newton direction a descent direction?
- Compute explicitly  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$  given  $\mathbf{x}_0$  for the steepest descent method using constant step size  $\alpha_k = \alpha > 0$ . What does it tend to for  $k \gg 1$ ? Study the geometry of the problem for  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ . Test the following starting points  $\mathbf{x}_0$ :  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . What happens with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ ? What happens if using an exact line search?

Repeat for the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ .

**I.3. Convergence rate.** Prove that the convergence of the sequences  $(\frac{1}{k})$ ,  $(2^{-k})$ ,  $(k^{-k})$  and  $(2^{-2^k})$  is sublinear, linear, superlinear and quadratic, respectively. Tabulate them for a few values of  $k$ .

**I.4. Empirical convergence rate.** Consider a sequence  $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K \in \mathbb{R}^n$  produced by an optimization method where the optimizer is  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . We can empirically estimate the rate of the method by fitting a line to the consecutive distances:  $\log d_{k+1} = a + b \log d_k$  where  $d_k = \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|$ ;  $b$  will be the order of the method and  $M = e^a$  the rate constant. Write a Matlab function `convseq` that takes as input a  $(K+1) \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  (containing the sequence, rowwise) and a  $1 \times n$  vector  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and plots the  $K$  pairs of consecutive log-distances and the least-squares line, and gives the value of the order  $b$  and the constant  $M$ . Apply it to the sequences of the previous exercise. What happens with the sub- and superlinear cases?

**I.5. Coordinate descent.** Program in Matlab the coordinate descent method using backtracking line search. Test it as in exercise 3.1. Estimate the convergence rate with the `convseq` function of exercise **I.4**.

**I.6. Exact line search in quadratic forms.** Program in Matlab the steepest descent method using exact line search for a quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$  (use the result of exercise 3.3). Test it for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  having condition numbers  $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 2, 10, 100$  and plot the sequence of iterates as in fig. 3.7. Estimate the convergence rate with `convseq`.

Repeat but for the coordinate descent method.

**I.7. Floating-point computations.** Compare the true result with the numerical Matlab answer in the following computations.

1. Cancellation:

- (a) Let  $x = 1$ . Evaluate in Matlab the following expressions:  $y - x$ ,  $\sqrt{(y - x)^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{y^2 + x^2 - 2xy}$  when  $y = 1 + \text{eps}/2$ ,  $y = 1 + \text{eps}$ ,  $y = 1 + \sqrt{\text{eps}}$ ,  $y = 1 + 10^3 \sqrt{\text{eps}}$ .
- (b) Consider the equation  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  with  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2(1 + \epsilon)$ ,  $c = 1 + 2\epsilon$ . Compute in Matlab the two roots using the formula  $x_{\pm} = (-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac})/2a$  and then compute their difference  $d = x_+ - x_-$ . Tabulate the relative error in  $d$  for  $\epsilon = 10^0, 10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, \dots, 10^{-17}$ .

2. Ill-conditioning:

- (a) Compute the solution to  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  with  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+\epsilon \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , for  $\epsilon \in \{5\text{eps}, 2\text{eps}, \text{eps}, \text{eps}/2\}$ .

**I.8. Non-isolated strict local minimisers.** Plot  $x^4 \cos \frac{1}{x} + 2x^4$  around  $x = 0$ .

**I.9. Modified Newton's method.** Consider Newton's method with a Hessian modification (algorithm 3.2 in p. 48 of the book)  $\mathbf{B}_k = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_k) + \lambda_k \mathbf{I}$ , so that the search direction is  $\mathbf{p}_k = -\mathbf{B}_k^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ , and has as extreme cases the pure Newton step for  $\lambda = 0$  and the steepest descent direction for  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Ax}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_k = (1, -3)$ . Plot the contours of  $f$ , the gradient at  $\mathbf{x}_k$  and the search direction  $\mathbf{p}_k$  as a function of  $\lambda \geq 0$  for the following three cases:  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . What is the relation with theorem 4.1 (p. 70 in the book) and with exercise 4.1?