Analysis of chaos-induced pulse trains in the ionization of hydrogen
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We examine excitation (by a short laser pulse) of a hydrogen atom in parallel electric and magnetic fields, from an initial tightly bound state to a state above the classical ionization threshold. We predict that the atom ionizes by emitting a train of electron pulses. This prediction is based on the classical dynamics of electron escape. In particular, the pulse train is due to classical chaos, which occurs for nonvanishing magnetic field. We connect the structure of the pulse train to fractal structure in the escape dynamics, and discuss several issues of experimental interest, with a particular emphasis on understanding the resolution of individual pulses. A brief account of this work appeared previously as a Letter [Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 073001 (2004)].
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I. INTRODUCTION

Our work is motivated by the experiments of Lankhuijzen and Noordam [1], in which rubidium atoms in a constant applied electric field were excited from the ground state to an energy above the classical saddle by a short (few picosecond) laser pulse. The resulting electron flux striking a detector was then measured as a function of time. This ionization signal revealed a train of electron pulses, rather than an exponential decay. This observation can be qualitatively explained by the following semiclassical analysis [2]. Photoabsorption promotes the valence electron from a low-energy bound state into an outgoing wave, which can be modeled semiclassically as an ensemble of trajectories propagating away from the atomic core in all directions and with a narrow range of energies. Some trajectories head directly downhill, and are accelerated by the external field toward a detector, creating an initial prompt pulse of electrons. Other trajectories initially head uphill, are turned around by the field, and return to the core where they scatter in all directions. Some of these scattered trajectories head downhill, creating a second pulse of electrons. Subsequent rescattering events create additional pulses. Similar results have also been obtained in quantum computations [2].

Here, we predict that a hydrogen atom, placed in combined electric and magnetic fields, can also ionize through emission of an electron pulse train. (This prediction originally occurred as a Letter [3].) Though these pulse trains bear a certain similarity to those found experimentally for rubidium, the mechanism for pulse creation is fundamentally different. Pulses are not created through core scattering (since there are no core electrons). Rather, the pulses are a result of classical chaos, which occurs for nonvanishing magnetic field. This can be outlined as follows. Due to the chaotic dynamics, the behavior of a trajectory moving away from the nucleus depends intricately upon its initial outgoing angle $\theta$ [4]. Nevertheless, over certain intervals of $\theta$ (called escape segments), all trajectories have similar qualitative behavior, and most strike the detector within a short interval of time. Thus the family of trajectories within each escape segment gives rise to a single electron pulse.

More formally, we identify the escape segments by first reducing the electron dynamics from a Hamiltonian flow to an area-preserving map on a two-dimensional phase space. This map possesses a prominent fixed point, related to a periodic orbit of the full electron dynamics. The stable and unstable manifolds of this fixed point (which are curves in the plane) intersect to form what is called a homoclinic tangle. Homoclinic tangles are a basic mechanism for chaotic transport and escape, and the intricate manner in which the unstable manifold intersects the initial ensemble of trajectories (which itself is well approximated by a line in the plane) defines the escape segments.

The escape segments exhibit fractal structure—within-structure as well as a certain self-similarity, which we call "epistropic self-similarity." This fractal structure is reflected in the structure of the pulse train. Here, we concentrate on the early time behavior, embodied in the first several pulses. The pulse train becomes more and more complicated as time progresses.

Our theoretical analysis is intended to stimulate experimental efforts to observe chaos-induced pulse trains in hydrogen, or related systems. Such observations would not only elucidate a fundamental ionization mechanism, but would also provide a convenient laboratory tool for studying chaotic transport and escape.

Our paper is summarized as follows. Section II lays the foundation for the theory of the ionization process. In particular, Sec. II B explains how the initial outgoing wave packet is modeled by a classical ensemble of trajectories moving away from the nucleus. The classical propagation of this ensemble yields an electron pulse train striking the detector, first illustrated in Sec. II C. Section II D connects the pulse train to the “fractal” escape-time plot—the time it takes a trajectory to strike the detector plotted as a function of its initial outgoing angle $\theta$.

Section III examines the classical dynamics of ionizing trajectories. In particular, after transforming to parabolic coordinates (Sec. III A) and identifying a particularly important
We derive an optimal laser pulse length (Mitchell et al.) of the prominent periodic orbit, and Appendix B considers the linear term in spherical coordinates. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ of the electron in Coulomb plus applied fields is

$$\hat{H}(\rho, z, \rho, \rho_z) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 + \rho_z^2) + V(\rho, z) = E. \quad (1a)$$

$$V(\rho, z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} + \frac{1}{8} B^2 \rho^2, \quad (1b)$$

where the linear term in $B$ is eliminated by working in a frame rotating about the $z$ axis with frequency $\omega = B/2$; for simplicity the $z$ component of angular momentum $\hat{z} \cdot (r \times \hat{p})$ is set to zero. As is common [e.g., Refs. [5(b),6]], Eq. (1) is expressed in scaled variables $(\rho, z, \rho, \rho_z)$ that are related to the original unscaled variables $(\rho, \hat{z}, \rho, \rho_z)$ by

$$(\rho, z) = (\hat{\rho} E^{1/4} \hat{z}, \hat{E}^{1/4}) \quad (p, \rho_z) = (\hat{p} E^{-1/4}, \hat{\rho}_z E^{-1/4}), \quad \hat{F} is the applied electric-field strength (in atomic units). The scaled energy is $E = \hat{E} F^{-1/2}$ and the scaled magnetic-field strength is $B = \hat{B} F^{-3/4}$, where $\hat{E}$ and $\hat{B}$ are the corresponding physical values (in atomic units). Similarly, the scaled time is $t = i F^{3/4}$.

**II. OVERVIEW OF THE IONIZATION PROCESS**

The ionization process is summarized as follows. The hydrogen atom is placed in parallel external electric and magnetic fields, with the electron in a low-energy eigenstate; the applied fields are not strong enough to significantly alter this state. Next, a short laser pulse strikes the atom, promoting a fraction of the initial electron state to an energy above the classical ionization threshold, forming a radial wave packet. That is, just as a pebble dropped into a pond produces a circular outgoing ripple, photoexcitation of the atom by a short laser pulse produces a spherical outgoing wave packet, which propagates away from the atom in all directions. The wave packet evolves in the Coulomb field of the proton plus the applied electric and magnetic fields. Over the course of time, some of the wave packet slips over the classical barrier, is drawn downhill by the applied electric field, and strikes the detector, which measures the ionization rate (defined as the rate of electrons striking the detector) as a function of time.

**A. Hamiltonian and scaled variables**

The Hamiltonian $H$ of the electron in Coulomb plus applied parallel electric and magnetic fields is given in cylindrical coordinates $(\rho, z)$ and atomic units ($\hbar = \mu = 1$) by

$$H(\rho, z, \rho, \rho_z) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 + \rho_z^2) + V(\rho, z) = E. \quad (1a)$$

$$V(\rho, z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} + \frac{1}{8} B^2 \rho^2, \quad (1b)$$

where the linear term in $B$ is eliminated by working in a frame rotating about the $z$ axis with frequency $\omega = B/2$; for simplicity the $z$ component of angular momentum $\hat{z} \cdot (r \times \hat{p})$ is set to zero. As is common [e.g., Refs. [5(b),6]], Eq. (1) is expressed in scaled variables $(\rho, z, \rho, \rho_z)$ that are related to the original unscaled variables $(\rho, \hat{z}, \rho, \rho_z)$ by

$$(\rho, z) = (\hat{\rho} E^{1/4} \hat{z}, \hat{E}^{1/4}) \quad (p, \rho_z) = (\hat{p} E^{-1/4}, \hat{\rho}_z E^{-1/4}), \quad \hat{F} is the applied electric-field strength (in atomic units). The scaled energy is $E = \hat{E} F^{-1/2}$ and the scaled magnetic-field strength is $B = \hat{B} F^{-3/4}$, where $\hat{E}$ and $\hat{B}$ are the corresponding physical values (in atomic units). Similarly, the scaled time is $t = i F^{3/4}$.

Applying the preceding scalings to the quantum momentum operators, $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \partial / \partial q_j$ implies $p_j = -i\hbar \partial / \partial q_j$, where $h = \hbar E^{1/2} = h(\hat{E}/E)^{1/2}$ is a scaled version of the Planck constant. In atomic units ($\hbar = 1$),

$$\hat{h} = \frac{1}{N^2/|E|}, \quad (2)$$

where $N = (2|\hat{E}|)^{-1/2}$ is the ersatz principal quantum number. Equation (2) confirms one’s intuition that by exciting the atom to higher energies, the effective value of Planck’s constant is lowered, and the system is more “classical.”

**B. The initial outgoing wave packet**

The laser field contributes an additional term to the Hamiltonian,

$$H_L(t) = F_L D g(t), \quad (3)$$

where $F_L$ is the peak electric-field strength (in scaled units), $D = r \cdot \epsilon$ is the dipole operator, with laser polarization $\epsilon$ and electron position $r$, and $g(t)$ is the time dependence. We include only the absorption term of the laser field, for which we assume $g(t) = g_{env}(t) \exp(-i\omega_L t)$, with $\omega_L$ the central laser frequency and $g_{env}(t)$ a slowly varying envelope centered about $t=0$ and having a maximum amplitude of 1. We typically use a Gaussian $g_{env}(t) = \exp[-t^2/(4 \Delta_t^2)]$, where $\Delta_t$ is the standard deviation of the intensity of the laser pulse. In Eq. (3) and the remainder of Sec. II B, we work in an inertial frame.

Using first-order time-dependent quantum perturbation theory, the initial Coulomb eigenstate $|\psi_i\rangle$ generates the excited time-dependent state $|\Psi_s(t)\rangle$ according to

$$(i\hbar \partial / \partial t - H)|\Psi_s(t)\rangle = H_L(t) \exp(-i E_i t/\hbar)|\psi_i\rangle$$

$$= F_L g_{env}(t) \exp(-i E_i t/\hbar) D |\psi_i\rangle = |S(t)\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $E_i$ is the energy of $|\psi_i\rangle$ and $E_i = E + \hbar \omega_L$ is the central energy of the excited state $|\Psi_s(t)\rangle$. The inhomogeneous term $|S(t)\rangle$ acts as a source for $|\Psi_s(t)\rangle$, yielding

$$\Psi_s(r,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr' dt' K(r,t;r',t') S(r',t'), \quad (5)$$

where $K(r,t;r',t')$ is the propagator for $H$. 
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References [5–8] discuss excitation by a steady-state laser, i.e., $g_{env}=1$, to a definite energy close to the zero-field ionization threshold (i.e., $N$ is large). In this case, the compact source |$S$ generates an outgoing spherical Coulomb wave $\psi_{out}(r)$ in the vicinity of the atom, where the external fields are not strong enough to be significant. At a sufficiently large radius, this wave is well approximated by the decomposition [Ref. [6], Eq. (2.12)]

$$\psi_{out}(r) = \frac{C}{r^{1/2}} \exp\left(i \sqrt{8r^3/\hbar}\right) \mathcal{Y}(\theta, \phi),$$

where $\mathcal{Y}(\theta, \phi)$ gives the angular distribution of the wave and $C$ is a constant. The spherical angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ are defined relative to the positive $z$ axis. The outgoing wave can be described semiclassically by an ensemble of classical trajectories moving radially away from the nucleus; these trajectories have a fixed energy $E$ and are continuously emitted from the source for all times, with angular distribution $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta, \phi)|^2$. The subsequent evolution of these trajectories describes the steady-state quantum wave function.

The computation of $\mathcal{Y}$ in terms of the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and the dipole operator $D$ is discussed in Ref. [6], Appendix A3 and Ref. [5(b)], Sec. V B. See also [7]. Assuming the $z$ component of the angular momentum is zero, as in Eq. (1), $\mathcal{Y}(\theta, \phi)$ reduces to $\mathcal{Y}(\theta)$.

For the pulsed laser considered here, the outgoing wave $\psi_{out}(r)$ is primarily emitted over the time interval $\Delta_t$ determined by $g_{env}(t)$. The energy of the wave is not sharp, but has a deviation $\Delta_E$ about the central energy $E_c$, satisfying the uncertainty relation $\Delta_E \Delta_t \approx h/2$ (equality for a Gaussian pulse.) A sufficiently tight energy resolution ensures the validity of Eq. (6), meaning the (physical) time interval should be much longer than an atomic unit, or in scaled units $\Delta_t \approx 1/N^2$. Semiclassically, we then model the electron pulse by an ensemble of classical trajectories that have a range of launch times $\Delta_t$ and a range of energies $\Delta_E$.

More formally, the initial distribution of trajectories in the energy-time plane is given by the Wigner function $W_f(E,t)$ of $f(t)=g_{env}(t)\exp(-iE t/\hbar)$,

$$W_f(E,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \ e^{iE_b s} f(t+s/2) f^*(t-s/2).$$

The Wigner function is the quantum analog of a classical phase-space density. For a Gaussian envelope $g_{env}(t) = \exp[-t^2/(4\Delta_t^2)]$, the Wigner function of $f(t)$ is itself a Gaussian,

$$W_f(E,t) = A e^{-\xi^2/(2\Delta_t^2)} e^{-(E-E_c)^2/(2\Delta_E^2)},$$

with normalization $A=2\sqrt{2\pi\Delta_t}$ and $\Delta_E = \hbar/(2\Delta_t)$. The initial electron wave packet is modeled by a classical ensemble of trajectories beginning at the nucleus and propagating radially outward with a distribution of energies and launch times given by $W_f(E,t)$ and a distribution of launch angles $\theta$ given by $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta$. So long as $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2$ is independent of $\phi$, this outgoing distribution is valid in either an inertial frame or the rotating frame of Eqs. (1).

Within semiclassical theory, the evolution of the initial wave packet is approximated by following the trajectories within the corresponding classical distribution. This approximation involves both evolving the classical density (the square root of the density yields the quantum amplitude) as well as determining the quantum phase (by computing the accumulated action). In this paper, we ignore the phase information (that is, we ignore interference) and concentrate on the classical density. For early times and for sufficiently high excitation (large $N$), this should yield a reasonable approximation to the intensity seen at the detector.

C. Numerical computation of the ionization rate

Figure 1(a) shows the ionization rate computed for zero magnetic field. The computation begins with an initial ensemble of radially outgoing trajectories as described in Sec. II B. These trajectories are integrated until they reach the detector, located at $z=-4$, or until some maximum cutoff.

FIG. 1. (a) For hydrogen in an electric field only ($B=0$ and scaled energy $E=-1.3$), the electron flux (ionization rate) striking the detector (at $z=-4$) is plotted as a function of time after atomic excitation. The thin dark line models the outgoing electron wave packet by an ensemble of trajectories with precise energy and launch time. The thick shaded line uses an ensemble represented by a minimum uncertainty Gaussian wave packet with $\Delta_E=0.062$. The central (physical) energy of the wave packet is $E=-1/(2N^2)$ with $N=80$. This implies $F=19$ V/cm and one scaled unit of time $t$ equals 52 ps. (b) The time it takes a trajectory to strike the detector is plotted as a function of the initial launch angle $\theta$. 
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time is attained. The total number of trajectories striking the detector per unit time (the ionization rate) is then recorded as a function of time. The thin line in Fig. 1 is the unaveraged ionization rate, computed using a classical ensemble with a single fixed energy $E = −1.3$ and a precise launch time $t = 0$. The thick line is the result using a Gaussian distribution of energies and launch times, with $D_t = D_\gamma = 0.062$ and central energy $E_c = −1.3$. Both lines show a single pulse of electrons, with an exponentially decaying tail. The thick line is simply a smoothed version of the thin line, since the behavior of the trajectories does not vary significantly over $\Delta E$.

In both Figs. 1(a) and 2(a), the angular distribution is an $s$ wave, with $\gamma = 1$. This distribution is chosen for theoretical convenience, since it weights all directions evenly. In Sec. V F, we consider other distributions which may be more experimentally appropriate.

We focus first on understanding pulse trains generated by a fixed energy $E$ and launch time $t = 0$, i.e., the thin lines.

**D. The escape-time plot**

We examine first the case of no magnetic field. Figure 1(b) shows the time it takes a trajectory (with fixed $E = −1.3$ and $B = 0$) to strike the detector as a function of its initial outgoing angle $\theta$. The trajectory that heads directly downhill ($\theta = \pi$) reaches the detector first. As $\theta$ decreases, the initial velocity points further and further away from the downhill direction, and the trajectory takes longer and longer to strike the detector. Eventually a critical angle $\theta_c$ is reached, at which the trajectory takes an infinite time to escape. This trajectory is bound forever. All trajectories above the critical angle are also bound forever.

The thin ionization curve in Fig. 1(a) is equal to the slope $d\phi/dt$ of the escape-time plot in Fig. 1(b), weighted by the
initial angular distribution $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta$. The pulse in Fig. 1(a) starts at the earliest escape time in Fig. 1(b) and then trails off to zero as $\theta$ approaches the critical angle and $d\theta/dt$ goes to zero.

We now turn on the magnetic field. Figure 2(b) shows the escape-time plot for $E=-1.3$, $B=4.5$, with the range of $\theta$ restricted to the transition region between direct trajectories that strike the detector quickly at large $\theta$ and trajectories that are bound for a long (possibly infinite) time at small $\theta$. This transition is much more complicated than in Fig. 1(b). Rather than a single critical angle, there are many critical angles (in fact, an infinite number), with fractal behavior and structure on all scales [9]. Following Ref. [10], we use the term “icicle” for a smooth region of the plot located between two critical angles.

As in Fig. 1, the slope $d\theta/dt$ in Fig. 2(b), together with the weighting $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta$, yields the thin ionization curve in Fig. 2(a). Each icicle, therefore, contributes one pulse to the train. The pulse begins at the tip, or earliest time, of an icicle, where $d\theta/dt$ is infinite. The initial pulse height is therefore also infinite, so long as the weight factor $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta$ does not vanish. (The height of the direct pulse is finite since this factor vanishes sufficiently rapidly at $\theta \approx \pi$.) The area underneath a pulse is finite, regardless of its initial height [i.e., the singularity is integrable, being proportional to $(t-t_0)^{-1/2}$], and equals $|\mathcal{Y}(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta$ integrated across the $\theta$ range of the icicle. A pulse eventually exhibits exponential decay as one moves out along an edge of the icicle (assuming the edge does not coincide with a zero of the weight factor.) Parts (c) and (d) of Fig. 2 will be discussed later, in Sec. IV A.

In conclusion, to understand the structure of the electron pulse train, we must explore the structure of the escape-time plot. This, in turn, requires a detailed understanding of the classical escape dynamics.

III. CLASSICAL ESCAPE DYNAMICS

A. Transformation to parabolic coordinates

Following standard practice [e.g., Refs. [5(b),5(c)]]], we define parabolic coordinates $(u,v)$ and their conjugate momenta $(p_u,p_v)$ by

$$u = \pm \sqrt{r+z}, \quad v = \pm \sqrt{r-z}, \quad (9)$$

$$p_u = up_r + up_z, \quad p_v = up_r - up_z, \quad (10)$$

where $r=\sqrt{p_r^2+p_z^2}=(u^2+v^2)/2$. We allow $u$ and $v$ to take both positive and negative values ($-\infty < u, v < \infty$) corresponding to a fourfold covering of the cylindrical coordinates ($p > 0, -\infty < z < \infty$). Equations (9) and (10) have the following inverse transformations:

$$\rho = uv, \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - v^2), \quad (11)$$

$$p_\rho = \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2}, \quad \rho_z = \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2}. \quad (12)$$

We introduce an effective Hamiltonian $h=2r(H-E)$, which equals

$$h(u,v,p_u,p_v) = \frac{1}{2}(p_u^2 + p_v^2) + V_{av}(u,v) - 2, \quad (13a)$$

$$V_{av}(u,v) = -E(u^2 + v^2) + \frac{1}{8}(u^4 + v^4 + 2u^2v^2 + 2u^2v^2) + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - v^2). \quad (13b)$$

Setting $h=0$, this Hamiltonian generates the same trajectories as setting $H=E$, although parameterized by a new timelike variable $\xi$, defined by $ds/d\xi=1/(2r)$. Note that $E$ appears as a parameter in the Hamiltonian $h$.

In Eq. (13b), $B^2$ acts as a coupling constant between the $u$ and $v$ motions. When $B=0$, the Hamiltonian is separable, and there is no chaos. When $B \neq 0$, mixing between the $u$ and $v$ motions produces chaos responsible for the pulse train.

The effective potential $V_{av}$, plotted in Fig. 3, contains a smooth well about the nucleus $(u=v=0)$. The elimination of the Coulomb singularity makes the numerical propagation of trajectories near the nucleus more tractable. Several ionizing trajectories are also drawn in Fig. 3. They begin at the nucleus, undergo some oscillations within the potential well, and then eventually pass over the saddle region and escape through either the left or right exit channel. The left and right exit channels are physically equivalent since $(u,v)$ and $-(u,v)$ correspond to the same physical position. [See Eq. (11).] Figure 3 is analogous to Fig. 2 of Ref. [3], which is presented in the physical $\rho z$ coordinates.

B. An important periodic orbit

Figure 3 shows two symmetrically placed periodic orbits near $v=\pm 1.3$. Any escaping trajectory must cross the curve formed by one of these orbits. This curve is called a periodic orbit dividing surface, or PODS, in molecular reaction theory [11]. It acts as a kind of gatekeeper to escape. Once a trajectory has crossed over the curve into the exit channel, it cannot return.

The two periodic orbits in $uv$ space reduce to a single physical orbit in $\rho z$ space. The period $T_{po}$ of this orbit plays...
a critical role in our analysis. Here, $T_{po}$ is the time it takes the orbit to travel from $\rho=0$ back to $\rho=0$, maintaining $\rho > 0$ (equivalently $u > 0$), i.e., $T_{po}$ is half the period of the orbit in Fig. 3. Figure 4(a) plots $T_{po}$ computed numerically as a function of $E$ and $B$. For $B \geq 2$, the period is approximately (see Appendix A)

$$T_{po} \approx \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{B^2 + 4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

with frequency $\omega_{po} \approx \sqrt{B^2 + 4}$. This approximation is independent of $E$ and falls to zero as $B$ goes to infinity, consistent with Fig. 4(a). Furthermore, in the large $B$ limit, $T_{po}$ reduces to the cyclotron period $T_{cycl} = 2\pi/B$ (as it must). For $E = -1.3$ and $B = 4.5$, direct numerical computation yields $T_{po} = 1.2752$, whereas Eq. (14) yields the close approximation $T_{po} = 1.2759$. Part (b) of Fig. 4 will be discussed later, in Sec. III D.

C. Surface of section and Poincaré map

We define a two-dimensional Poincaré surface of section in the four-dimensional $(u, v, p_u, p_v)$ phase space by the constraints $u = h = 0$. A point on this surface is specified, up to the sign of $p_u$, by its coordinates $(v, p_v)$. The Poincaré return map, a discrete-time map on the surface of section, is defined as follows. An initial point on the surface evolves under the Hamiltonian (13). The trajectory initially moves away from the $v$ axis in the $uv$ plane, but is ultimately turned around by the potential $V(u,v)$, subsequently returning to the $v$ axis and intersecting the surface of section. This new intersection is defined to be the image of the initial point under the Poincaré map. We view the Poincaré map as a map from the initial coordinates $(v, p_v)$ to the final coordinates $(v', p_v')$; this interpretation is possible because it does not depend on the sign of $p_u$.

We examine the Poincaré map first for zero magnetic field. Figure 5 is the surface-of-section plot for $B = 0$, $E = -1.3$. Within this plot are left and right fixed points, $z_l$ and $z_r$, whose stable manifolds, $U_l$ and $U_r$, coincide with their unstable manifolds. $U_l$ and $U_r$. The initial electron trajectories populate the vertical line $L_0$. The shaded regions are energetically forbidden.

![Figure 5](image-url)
shaped region bounded by the stable/unstable manifolds, all trajectories are bound forever. Outside this region, all trajectories escape, either to the southwest or the northeast in Fig. 5.

We now consider a nonzero magnetic field. Figure 6 is the surface-of-section plot for $B=4.5$, $E=-1.3$. As before, there are two unstable fixed points. However, their stable and unstable manifolds no longer coincide, but rather intersect transversely, forming a complicated pattern of curves called a heteroclinic tangle. This complicated structure produces phase-space transport and escape. In particular, each intersection between $\mathcal{L}_0$ and the stable manifold $S_l$ or $S_r$ produces a critical angle, i.e., an edge of an icicle, in Fig. 2(b). The infinity of icicles (and hence the infinity of electron pulses) thus results from the infinity of such intersections. We elaborate on this in Secs. III D and IV.

### D. The homoclinic tangle

We simplify Fig. 6 by defining new canonical coordinates $(q, p)$ that exploit the inversion symmetry $(v, p_v) \rightarrow -(v, p_v)$. In terms of canonical polar coordinates $I=(p_v^2 + v^2)/2$ and $\phi = -\tan^{-1}(p_v/v)$, we define $p = \sqrt{I} \sin 2\phi = \sqrt{2}v p_v/\sqrt{v^2 + p_v^2}$ and $q = \sqrt{I} \cos 2\phi = (v^2 - p_v^2)/\sqrt{2(v^2 + p_v^2)}$. In these new $(q, p)$ coordinates, $(v, p_v)$ and $-(v, p_v)$ are identified. Thus, the surface-of-section plot in these coordinates, as shown in Fig. 7, contains a single unstable fixed point $z_X$ whose stable and unstable manifolds intersect transversely, forming a homoclinic tangle. The vertical line of initial conditions in Fig. 6 becomes a horizontal line in Fig. 7, terminating at $q=p=0$.

We now review how a homoclinic tangle, as in Fig. 7, produces phase-space transport and escape [12–16]. Since some of the structure in Fig. 7 is hard to resolve, we include a qualitative picture in Fig. 8(a). Similar qualitative tangles occur in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c) and in Fig. 3 of Ref. [15] and Figs. 3 and 4 of Ref. [16]. The point $P_0$ is a transverse homoclinic intersection, i.e., an intersection between the stable ($S$) and unstable ($U$) manifolds. The segments of $S$ and $U$ joining $z_X$ to $P_0$ bound a region of the plane which we call the complex. Roundly speaking, points within the complex correspond to the excited neutral atom. If a trajectory maps outside the complex, it will subsequently progress to infinity, resulting in ionization. We therefore need to understand how points map out of the complex.

The homoclinic intersection $P_0$ iterates forward to $P_1, P_2, P_3, \ldots$, converging to $z_X$ along the upper $S$ boundary of the complex. Similarly, it iterates backward to $P_{-1}, P_{-2}, P_{-3}, \ldots$, converging to $z_X$ along the lower $U$ boundary of the complex. Between $P_0$ and $P_1$ is another homoclinic intersection $Q_0$, whose iterates $Q_n$ are interleaved between the $P_n$. The segments of $S$ and $U$ that join $P_0$ to $Q_0$ in Figs. 7 and 8 bound a region called the escape lobe $E_0$. Similarly, the segments that join $Q_{-1}$ to $P_0$ bound the capture lobe $C_0$. The forward and backward iterates of these lobes produce a sequence of escape lobes $E_n$ and of capture lobes $C_n$. Some of these lobes are shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

Transport in and out of the complex occurs via a “turnstile” [12]: The escape lobe $E_{-1}$, which is inside the complex, maps to $E_0$, which is outside the complex; similarly, the capture lobe $C_0$, which is outside the complex, maps to $C_1$, which is inside the complex. All points that escape in $n$ iterates lie in the lobe $E_{-n}$.

We characterize the structure of the tangle by two quantities, a local geometric quantity $\alpha$ and a global topological quantity $D$. (i) The Liapunov factor $\alpha > 1$ is the largest eigenvalue of the Poincaré map, linearized about the fixed point $z_X$. It characterizes the rate at which $E_n$ and $C_n$ become thinner as their bases converge upon $z_X$. (ii) The minimum delay time $D$ is the fewest iterates any scattering trajectory may spend within the complex. (A scattering trajectory is one that begins outside the complex, is captured by the complex, spends some number of iterates within the complex, and eventually escapes.) Alternatively, the delay time $D$ is the smallest $n$ such that $E_{-(n+1)}$ intersects $C_0$ or, more generally, $E_{-(n+1)}$ intersects $C_k$ for arbitrary $k$. For Figs. 7 and

---

**Fig. 6.** The surface-of-section plot for $B=4.5$, $E=-1.3$ shows that the right stable manifold $S_r$ (thin solid curve) and left unstable manifold $U_c$ (thick dashed curve) no longer coincide. The same is true of $S_l$ and $U_l$.

**Fig. 7.** The surface-of-section plot for $B=4.5$, $E=-1.3$ in the $pq$ coordinates contains a single fixed point $z_X$, whose stable manifold $S$ (thin curve) and unstable manifold $U$ (thick curve) intersect to form a homoclinic tangle. The capture lobes $C_n$ are shaded white, the escape lobes $E_n$ purple, and the complex light blue.
\[ \ln \alpha \approx T_{po} \sqrt{2} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{8} \langle \rho^2 \rangle \right), \]

where \( T_{po} \) is given by Eq. (14) and

\[ \langle \rho^2 \rangle = \frac{E + 2}{B^2/4 + 1} \]

is the (approximate) average value of \( \rho^2 \) along the periodic orbit. Under this approximation, \( \ln \alpha \) has a weak linear dependence on \( E \) through \( \langle \rho^2 \rangle \), consistent with the data in Fig. 4(b). Furthermore, as \( B \) increases, \( \ln \alpha \) converges to zero proportional to \( \sqrt{2T_{po}} \). For \( E=-1.3 \) and \( B=4.5 \), direct numerical computation yields \( \ln \alpha=1.743 \), whereas Eq. (15) yields the good approximation \( \ln \alpha=1.726 \).

The two solid curves in Fig. 4(b) denote changes in the topological parameter \( D \). Based on numerical computations, \( D=1 \) in regions 1 and 1*, \( D=2 \) in regions 2 and 2*, and \( D>2 \) in the region to the left and below region 2. The dashed curves denote where the lobes exhibit “overshoot,” a point revisited in Sec. IV C.

**IV. EPISTROPHES STRUCTURES**

**A. Epistrophe in the discrete-escape-time plot**

Figure 2(c) records the number of iterates of the Poincaré map required for a point \( \theta \) along \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) to escape the complex, i.e., to land in the escape lobe \( \mathcal{E}_0 \). This discrete-time plot gives a rectification of the continuous-time plot Fig. 2(b). Each icicle is straightened into a single escape segment with constant iterate number. Each escape segment that escapes on the \( nth \) iterate is an interval of intersection between the escape lobe \( \mathcal{E}_n \) and the line of initial conditions \( \mathcal{L}_0 \). For example, the intersection between \( E_{-2} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) in Fig. 7 yields the segment labeled A1 in Fig. 2(c).

Throughout the discrete-escape-time plot Fig. 2(c) and on all scales there are regular sequences of escape segments, which we call epistrophes. (“Epistrophe” is a term from rhetoric or poetry meaning “a regular, repeated ending following variable beginnings.”) We previously [15] studied general properties of epistrophes arising from a general homoclinic tangle, which we summarized in an epistrophe theorem: (i) An epistrophe is an infinite sequence of successive escape segments \( \varepsilon_k, k=k_0, \ldots, \infty \), that escape on the \( kth \) iterate. (ii) Each epistrophe converges monotonically upon the end point of an earlier escape segment. (iii) This convergence is geometric with rate equal to the Liapunov factor \( \alpha \) of the fixed point \( z_X \). More precisely, let \( w_k \) be the width of segment \( \varepsilon_k \), \( g_k \) be the distance between \( \varepsilon_k \) and \( \varepsilon_{k+1} \), and \( d_k \) be the distance from \( \varepsilon_k \) to the point of convergence. Then,

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} w_k \alpha^k = K_w, \]

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} g_k \alpha^k = K_g, \]

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} d_k \alpha^k = K_d, \]

for some \( K_w, K_g, K_d \geq 0 \). (iv) The asymptotic tails of any two epistrophes differ only by a change of scale. Equivalently,
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{w_k}{g_k} = \chi, \]  
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{w_k}{d_k} = \phi, \]

where \( \chi, \phi > 0 \) do not depend on the epistrophe considered.

(v) With rare exception (not seen in the present paper), an epistrophe converges upon each end point of every escape segment.2

The recursive structure of the epistrophes gives the escape-time plot structure-structure on all scales. The removal of escape segments on each iterate is analogous to the construction of the middle-third Cantor set, and the set of trajectories that never escape has an analogous fractal structure.

The epistrophe structure of Fig. 2(e) is illustrated in Fig. 2(d). The \( A \) epistrophe (of which the first four segments \( A_1 \cdots A_4 \) are shown) converges upon the upper end point of the direct segment. Similarly, the \( B \) and \( C \) epistrophes converge upon the upper and lower end points of the \( A_1 \) segment, respectively. The first segments (unlabeled) of the two epistrophes converging upon the upper and lower end points of the \( A_2 \) segment occur on the fifth iterate. The escape segments in each epistrophe decay with asymptotic rate \( \alpha = 5.71 \).

In Ref. [16], we discussed at which iterate \( k_0 \) an epistrophe begins. The topological structure of the homoclinic tangle shows that there must be a certain “minimal set” of escape segments. We developed a symbolic algorithm for computing this minimal set and showed that the escape segments within the set eventually, i.e., at large enough iterate, obey an “epistrophe start rule”: An escape segment on the \( n \)th iterate spawns two new epistrophes on iterate \( k_0 = n + D + 1 \). This rule is seen in Fig. 2(d), for which \( D + 1 = 2 \). (Recall that \( D = 1 \) in Fig. 7). The \( B \) and \( C \) epistrophes start at \( n = 4 \), two iterates after the \( A_1 \) segment at \( n = 2 \). Similarly, the \( A_2 \) segment at \( n = 3 \) spawns two new epistrophes at \( n = 5 \).

Calculations show that there are also escape segments that do not belong to the minimal set. These segments are not predicted by the symbolic algorithm mentioned above, and they do not fit the simple pattern predicted by the Epistrophe Start Rule. Such a segment, which we call a strophe, is marked by an asterisk in Figs. 2(b)–2(d). Numerical evidence indicates that strophes tend to be big segments and that at large iterate they are the dominant escape segments, compared to those predicted in the minimal set.

We use the term \textit{epistrophic self-similarity} to describe the above situation: throughout the escape-time plot and on all scales there are epistrophes; they are all asymptotically self-similar and each is similar to every other. However, there may also be strophe segments which occur on all scales and which, at long times, may come to dominate the regular epistrophe structure.

2The exception only occurs when the end point in question is not on the stable manifold. This only happens on the \( U \) boundary of the complex.

**B. Epistrophe structure of pulse trains**

To each escape segment there corresponds an icicle, and hence an electron pulse. Thus, an epistrophe of escape segments produces an \textit{epistrophe} of electron pulses, where the pulse sizes decay exponentially with rate \( \alpha \). In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) we explicitly connect the first nine pulses to their corresponding icicles and label them according to the scheme in Fig. 2(d). The first pulse is the large direct pulse. The second, third, and sixth pulses are the first three pulses of the \( A \) epistrophe. Notice the rapid decay in pulse size, since \( \alpha = 5.71 \).

The time between successive pulses in an epistrophe is roughly equal to the period \( T_{po} = 1.28 \), the time for the periodic orbit in Fig. 3 to travel from \( u = 0 \) back to \( u = 0 \) (Sec. III B). (This result is exact for the asymptotic behavior of an epistrophe.)

The epistrophe start rule is also reflected in the pulse train. Since \( D + 1 = 2 \), the two pulses \( B_1 \) and \( C_1 \), spawned by the \( A_1 \) pulse, occur almost concurrently with the \( A_3 \) pulse, or about \( 2T_{po} \) after the \( A_1 \) pulse. The \( B_2 \) and \( C_2 \) pulses follow roughly \( T_{po} \) after the \( B_1 \) and \( C_1 \) pulses.

The next pulse in the train is associated with the strophe segment (marked by the asterisk). Notice that it is large compared to other pulses nearby in time. This is typical of the behavior we observe for the strophe and their associated pulses, and numerical evidence indicates that the strophe pulses come to dominate at long times.

This completes our analysis and explanation of the unaveraged pulse train, shown as the thin line in Fig. 2(a). In Sec. V, we discuss the resolution of pulses in the energy-time-averaged pulse train, shown as the thick line. First, however, we consider how the structure of the pulse train changes when the minimum delay time \( D \) is changed.

**C. Varying the topological parameter \( D \)**

At \( B = 4.5, E = -1.92 \), the minimum escape time \( D \) equals 2, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Figure 8(c) depicts the qualitative topological structure of the \( D = 2 \) homoclinic tangle. This topological structure is reflected in the structure of the electron pulse train shown in Fig. 9. In particular, the Epistrophe Start Rule (Sec. IV A) states that epistrophes are spawned after \( D + 1 = 3 \) iterates, rather than the two iterates seen in Fig. 2. For example, the \( B \) and \( C \) epistrophes in Fig. 9(d) are spawned at \( n = 6 \), three iterates after the \( A_1 \) segment. Similarly, the \( B_1 \) and \( C_1 \) pulses are roughly concurrent with the \( A_4 \) pulse in Fig. 9(a).

The topology of the tangle in Fig. 8(b) is intermediate between Figs. 8(a) and 8(c). Passing from Fig. 8(a) to Fig. 8(b), the lobe \( E_{-2} \) contracts, so that it no longer intersects \( C_0 \). The elimination of this intersection implies \( D = 2 \). The “tip” of \( E_{-2} \), however, still “overshoots” its intersection with \( C_1 \). Passing from Fig. 8(b) to Fig. 8(c), \( E_{-2} \) continues to contract so that it does not overshoot \( C_1 \). Figure 4(b) provides parameter ranges for these topologies: region 2 has \( D = 2 \) with no overshoot, region 2* has \( D = 2 \) with overshoot, region 1 has \( D = 1 \) with no overshoot, and region 1* has \( D = 1 \) with overshoot.

The overshoot of the lobe in Fig. 8(b) creates additional intersections with the line of initial conditions (and conse-
quently additional escape segments and pulses) that are not accounted for in the epistrophe start rule of Sec. IV and Ref. [16]. However, such overshoot does not produce the strophe segments (and pulses) in Figs. 2 and 9, which are created by topological structure of another variety. In a future publication we will present a more detailed topological analysis of the tangle to address these phenomena. See also Refs. [14,17].

V. PULSE RESOLUTION

We study how energy and time averaging affects the resolution of electron pulses. In Sec. V F we also consider how different initial angular distributions affect pulse strength.

A. Variation of arrival time with energy

At a fixed energy, each pulse has a sharp initial arrival time, which is the earliest time of the corresponding icicle. As the energy is varied, this arrival time also varies, as shown in Fig. 10 for the early icicles. As the energy is increased, the trajectories move faster and tend to strike the detector earlier, implying a general shift of the pulses toward earlier times.

Six of the curves in Fig. 10 are nearly straight lines, with only a slight bowing. The remaining three curves, however, are substantially bent. This bending is related to the creation of the corresponding icicles. For example, the strophe curve, denoted with an asterisk, is created at $E = -1.34$, where it has an infinite arrival time. This arrival time rapidly decreases as the energy is raised, until the curve enters Fig. 10 from the right. It then bends into a nearly straight vertical curve. The same qualitative behavior is seen for the A1 and C1 curves. The remaining six curves bend similarly, but outside the range of the figure.

3 Shortly above the point where the strophe curve turns vertical, it bifurcates into two curves, representing the splitting of the initial icicle into two icicles.

FIG. 9. A. sequence of plots analogous to Fig. 2 with $B=4.5$, $E=-1.92$. The averaged pulse train in (a) is excited to $N=80$ with $\Delta_t = 0.17$, $\Delta_E = 0.019$. The physical field strengths are $\tilde{F} = 8.5 \text{ V/cm}$, $\tilde{B} = 0.27 \text{ T}$, and one scaled unit of time equals 93 ps.

FIG. 10. The (earliest) arrival time of each icicle labeled in Fig. 1(b) is shown as a function of energy. The value $B=4.5$ is held fixed. For many icicles, the behavior is close to linear over the energy range plotted. However, A1, C1, and * display notable nonlinear behavior.
It is fortunate that the basic structure of the pulse train is roughly constant over the range of excitation energies $\Delta E$ used in averaging. This constancy is exhibited by the arrival times (Fig. 10) as well as the weak variation of $T_{po}$ and $a$ as functions of $E$ at $B=4.5$ (Fig. 4).

B. The optimal $\Delta_t$

We ask how the energy dependence of the curves in Fig. 10 affects the resolution of a pulse in the energy-time-averaged pulse train. If in Fig. 10 the arrival time of an icicle were independent of energy, we would get the sharpest measured electron pulse by minimizing the duration of the laser pulse. However, since the arrival time does vary with energy, we optimize the resolution of an electron pulse by balancing the energy spread and time duration of the laser pulse.

For a given electron pulse, assume that $\Delta E$ is small enough that its curve in Fig. 10 may be approximated by a straight line. Then $\Delta E$ creates a deviation in arrival time $\Delta t = |b| \Delta E$, where $b = (dt/dE)_{E=E_0}$ is the inverse slope of the curve in Fig. 10, at the central energy. The unaveraged pulse is thus averaged by convolving with two Gaussians of widths $\Delta_t$ and $\Delta E = |b| \Delta t / (2 \Delta E)$, or equivalently, by convolving with a single Gaussian of width $\Delta t_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{\Delta t^2 + \Delta E^2}$. The minimum value of $\Delta t_{\text{tot}}$ is $\sqrt{|b|} \Delta t$ and is attained at

$$
\Delta t = \Delta t_{\text{opt}} = \frac{|b| \Delta t}{2},
$$

$$
\Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{opt}} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2|b|}}.
$$

Unless otherwise stated, we compute $\Delta t_{\text{opt}}$ by evaluating $b$ for the direct pulse. For $B=4.5$, $E=-1.3$ this yields $b = -1.00$ and $\Delta t_{\text{opt}} = \Delta E_{\text{opt}}$. This works well because the slopes of most other curves in Fig. 10 do not differ much from that of the direct pulse. The smoothed curves in Figs. 2(a) and 9(a) were obtained in this way.

C. Increasing the excitation energy

We imagine increasing the physical excitation energy $\dot{E} = -1/(2N^2)$ while simultaneously adjusting the physical field strengths $\dot{E}$ and $\dot{B}$ so that the scaled quantities $E$ and $B$ are held fixed. This leaves the classical Hamiltonian unchanged [either Eq. (1) or Eq. (13)], while decreasing the effective Planck constant $\hbar$ by $1/N$ [see Eq. (2)]. Thus, the extent of the Gaussian wave packet in the energy-time plane decreases by $1/N$ and the energy-time-averaged pulse train more closely approximates the unaveraged pulse train. Figure 11 shows this convergence for $N=20, 80, 400$, in each case choosing $\Delta t$ according to Eq. (22). The width of the averaged electron pulses is governed by $\Delta t_{\text{tot}}$, which scales as $1/\sqrt{N}$.

D. Averaging the strophe pulse

Notice in Fig. 2(a) that the energy-time-averaged strophe pulse is not centered on the unaveraged strophe pulse. Rather it is shifted toward earlier time, preceding the $B2$ and $C2$ pulses. This phenomenon is understood by examining the strophe arrival time curve in Fig. 10. At the central energy $E_c = -1.3$ (the dashed line), the curvature is significant and affects the energy averaging as follows. A Gaussian distribution of energies about $E_c$ places equal weight above the dashed line as below. However, the variation in arrival time above the line is smaller than the variation below the line, since the curve becomes more vertical above $E_c$. Therefore, the energy-averaged pulse density tends to pile up at a time preceding the unaveraged pulse. As $\Delta E$ increases, this shift becomes more pronounced, as illustrated in Fig. 12. For large enough $\Delta E$, this shift is further enhanced by the fact that the strophe pulse disappears entirely below $E = -1.34$. The shift is also enhanced by an increase in the strength of the pulse (i.e., the width of the escape segment) as the energy increases.

Finally, the temporal shift discussed here occurs for any pulse whose arrival time exhibits large curvature, i.e., the “strophe” nature of the pulse is not relevant.

FIG. 12. For $B=4.5$, $E=-1.3$, and $\Delta t=0.062$, the energy-time-averaged strophe pulse (located at $t=8$) is seen to shift to earlier times as $\Delta E$ increases: (a) $\Delta E=0.013$ ($N=400$), (b) $\Delta E=0.038$ ($N=133$), (c) $\Delta E=0.063$ ($N=80$).
E. Chirping

Chirping the laser pulse can increase the time resolution of a pulse within the train. Since higher-energy electrons reach the detector earlier than lower-energy ones, we can enhance the resolution by chirping the laser pulse so that higher-energy electrons begin later than lower-energy ones. We increase the frequency of the chirped pulse linearly in time with rate \( 1/b = (dE/dt)|_{E=E_0} \), matching the linear dependence of arrival time on energy for a given pulse (see Sec. V B). More precisely, the Wigner function of the linearly chirped Gaussian pulse is

\[
W(E,t) = Ae^{-(t-bE)^2/(2\Delta t^2)}e^{-(E-E_c)^2/(2\Delta E^2)}.
\]

We determine \( \Delta t \) by numerically adjusting it until a good resolution is obtained.

Figure 13 shows energy-time-averaged pulse trains generated by a chirped laser pulse. In parts (a)–(c), the chirping rate \( 1/b \) matches the direct pulse. Hence, the direct pulse shows a notable enhancement over Fig. 11 for all three values of \( N \). Other pulses whose slopes in Fig. 10 roughly match that of the direct pulse are also enhanced, whereas those pulses whose slopes differ markedly from the direct pulse have weaker, if any, enhancement. This distinction is clearly seen in pulses four and five. In Fig. 10, the slope of the direct pulse closely matches that of pulse four (B1), but not that of pulse five (C1). Consequently, in Figs. 13(a)–13(c) pulse four is sharp (especially for \( N=400 \)) whereas pulse five is broad and not readily discernible.

As explained in Sec. V D, the strophe pulse in Figs. 13(a)–13(c) is shifted to earlier time when averaged. The resolution of the strophe pulse is also increased when compared to Fig. 11. This is because the slope of the strophe curve in Fig. 10 is close to that of the direct pulse above \( E_c = -1.3 \).

Figure 13(d) shows the pulse train generated by a chirp calibrated on the strophe pulse, rather than the direct pulse. The resulting strophe pulse is close to its unaveraged position and width. Since the chirp is not matched to the other pulses, they are significantly wider than in Fig. 13(c).

F. Varying the angular distribution

In Fig. 2(a), the initial outgoing angular distribution is an \( s \) wave. Any other distribution \( |\psi(\theta)|^2 \) (such as a \( p \) wave) does not change the overall character of our results. However, the size of an electron pulse will change, depending on the angle at which it is launched, i.e., on the \( \theta \) position of the corresponding icicle. This effect is most dramatic for icicles near a zero of the angular distribution, which produce highly suppressed pulses, as demonstrated in Fig. 14. The scaled energy \( E_s = -0.5 \) is chosen so that the cluster of icicles near A1 will be near \( \theta = \pi/2 \). Another cluster of icicles is near \( \theta = 0 \). For an initial \( s \) state [Fig. 2(a)], \( |\psi(\theta)|^2 = 1 \) is uniform.
For an initial $p_z$ state [Fig. 2(b)], however, there is a node at \( \theta = \pi \), which suppresses the direct pulse, and a node at \( \theta = 0 \), which suppresses the upper icicle cluster.\(^4\) Conversely, for an initial $p_\perp$ state [Fig. 2(c)], there is a node at \( \theta = \pi/2 \), which suppresses the A1 icicle cluster. Thus, for a $p_\perp$-state the pulse train exhibits a prominent direct pulse followed by a lull, due to the suppressed A1 cluster, and the subsequent arrival of the \( \theta = 0 \) cluster of pulses.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We predict that an excited hydrogen atom in applied fields can decay through emission of a train of electron pulses. Our analysis models the initial outgoing electron wave function by an ensemble of classical trajectories, a portion of which eventually strike the detector. For the pulsed laser experiment under consideration here, quantum interference should not play a major role at the early times studied, since the electron pulses do not significantly overlap one another at the plane of the detector. However, for a continuous-wave excitation of an atom, ionizing electron trajectories will create an interference pattern on the detector. In a remarkable series of experiments, such patterns have been imaged for both photodetachment \cite{18} and photoionization \cite{19} in an applied electric field. In future work, we will apply the theoretical tools used here to study such interference patterns for continuous-wave photoionization of hydrogen in external electric and magnetic fields.

Finally, the existence of pulse trains is a direct consequence of chaotic escape arising from a homoclinic tangle. As such, pulse trains should be visible in other systems displaying this escape mechanism, for example certain open billiard geometries. Such geometries can be physically realized as light or microwave cavities. Pulsed microwave experiments thus give another potential route to measuring chaos-induced pulse trains.

APPENDIX A: PERTURBATIVE ANALYSIS ABOUT THE PERIODIC ORBIT

We develop approximations for both \( T_{p_0} \) and \( \ln \alpha \). For sufficiently large \( B \), the radius of the periodic orbit in \( p_z \) space is small enough to justify expanding the potential Eq. (1b) through second order in \( p_z \):

$$V(p_z) = \frac{1}{8} B^2 p_z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_z^2}{|z|^3} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma + O(p^3).$$

The \( z \) position of the periodic orbit lies near the classical saddle \( z = -1 \), where the external electric field is balanced by the Coulomb field. Using the approximation \( z = -1 \), the \( \rho \) behavior is to lowest order harmonic, with angular frequency \( \sqrt{B^2/4 + 1} \). Note that this frequency assumes \( \rho \) makes a full oscillation through both positive and negative values. Restricting \( \rho \) to the physically allowed positive values yields Eq. (14). This approximation gives a relative error of 5\% or better for \( B \gg 2 \).

We develop an approximation for \( \ln \alpha \) by considering the \( z \)-dependence of Eq. (A1). Near the approximate position \( z = -1 \) of the periodic orbit, the \( \rho \) motion is much faster than the \( z \) motion, producing an effective \( \rho \)-averaged \( \rho \) potential,

$$V_z(z) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \rho^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{|z|^3} + z,$$

where \( \langle \rho^2 \rangle \), given by Eq. (16), is \( \rho^2 \) averaged over one \( \rho \) oscillation, within the harmonic approximation. The unstable critical point of \( V_z \) occurs at \( z = -1 + 3 \langle \rho^2 \rangle /4 \) with curvature \( |d^2V_z(z)/dz^2| = 2 - 3 \langle \rho^2 \rangle /2 \). The Liapunov exponent is then approximately

$$\ln \alpha \approx T_{p_0} \sqrt{|d^2V_z(z)/dz^2|} \approx T_{p_0} \sqrt{2 \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \langle \rho^2 \rangle \right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

This approximation gives a relative error of 10\% or less for \( B \gg 3 \).

APPENDIX B: DEPENDENCE OF PULSE TRAINS ON THE DETECTOR POSITION

The continuous-escape-time plots and ionization rates in this paper were computed for a detector at \( z = -4 \), which is unrealistically close to the atom by several orders of magnitude. In this appendix, we consider placing the detector farther away. We find that the effect on the pulse train is minimal.

The position \( z_d \) of the detector in scaled units is related to its position \( \hat{z_d} \) in physical (atomic) units by \( z_d = \hat{z_d} E \hat{E}^{1/2} \approx \hat{z_d} \hat{E}/\hat{E} = \hat{z_d} / (2 N^2 |E|) \). For \( N = 80, E = -1.3 \), and \( \hat{z_d} = -2 \times 10^8 \approx -1 \) cm, the scaled position of the detector is \( z_d = -1.2 \times 10^8 \).

FIG. 15. Two unaveraged pulse trains for \( B = 4.5, E = -1.3 \). For the lower train, the detector is placed at \( z = -4 \). For the upper train (vertically offset by 0.06), the detector is placed at \( z = -1.2 \times 10^8 \). The upper train is shifted in time to align its second pulse with that of the lower train.
We integrate trajectories up to a “virtual” detector at $z_d' = -4$. Below $z = z_d'$, it is reasonable to ignore the Coulomb force, and the dynamics separates into uniform acceleration $a_z = -1$ in the $z$ direction and cyclotron motion in the transverse direction. Under uniform acceleration, the time $\delta t$ for a trajectory to travel between the virtual detector at $z_d'$ to the physical detector at $z_d$ is

$$\delta t = p_z + \sqrt{p_z^2 + 2|z_d - z_d'|} = p_z + \sqrt{2|z_d|},$$  \hspace{1cm}  (B1)

where $p_z < 0$ is the $z$ momentum at the virtual detector. The approximation in Eq. (B1) holds because $|z_d'| \ll |z_d|$ and because the maximum value of $p_z^2$ is $2(E - z_d') < 2|z_d|$

The second term in Eq. (B1), though large, is independent of trajectory and only shifts the pulse train in time. The first term in Eq. (B1), however, varies with trajectory, but only by a small amount. Figure 15 shows the unaveraged pulse train with and without the correction (B1). (The origin has been shifted in time to make the second pulses coincide.) We do see slight shifts in the relative arrival times of pulses with and without this term, but not by substantial amounts. These slight shifts would decrease even further if the virtual detector were moved lower. Finally, Eq. (B1) shows there is no significant change in the relative arrival times due to varying the position of the physical detector.


